The role of Endothelial cells in innate and adaptive immune responses, and as Potential Anti-Inflammatory therapeutic and Preventive Target in Cancer and Autoimmunity

Dr. ZelalemKirosBitsue, EmnetAschalewFekede,Prof. Abbas Mirshafiey United States of Africa Health Organization "AHO", Department of Immunology, International Campus, Tehran University of Medical Sciences Teklehaimanot General Hospital

Abstract: An effective immune response depends not only on the proper activation, regulation, and function of immune cells, but also on their distribution and retention in diverse tissue microenvironments where they encounter a number of stimuli and other cell types. Endothelial cells represent a highly heterogeneous population of cells with the ability to interact with and modulate the function of immune cells.

The integrity of the endothelial lining of the vasculature is essential for vascular homeostasis and normal organ function. Endothelial injury or dysfunction has been implicated in the pathogenesis of diverse vascular diseases. Studies in vitro have demonstrated that a wide variety of stimuli can induce programmed cell death (apoptosis) of endothelial cells, and have suggested that apoptosis could be an important mechanism of vascular injury, resulting in vascular leak, inflammation, and coagulation.

Allergic disorders commonly involve both chronic tissue inflammation and remodeling caused by immunological reactions to various antigens on tissue surfaces. Recent studies have shed light on the important roles of endothelial cells in the development and exacerbation of allergic disorders.

Previous reports have demonstrated that pro-inflammatory/immune effector cytokines significantly promote endothelial dysfunction while numerous novel anti-inflammatory/immunosuppressive cytokines have recently been identified such as interleukin (IL)-35.

In this article, I describe the role of endothelial cells, in innate and adaptive immune responses, effects of endothelial as anti-inflammatory therapy and mechanisms of endothelial dysfunction as potential therapeutic target in cancer and autoimmune diseases

----- 🌢 ------

Key Words: Endothelial cells, Anti-Inflammatory, Immunoregulatory, Cancer and Autoimmunity

The Table of Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Structure and fundamental functions of endothelial cells
- 3. Pathophysiology of endothelial dysfunction
- 4. Oxidative stress and endothelial cell dysfunction
- 5. Endothelial Dysfunction
- 6. Mechanisms of Endothelial Dysfunction
- 7. Innate immunity
- 8. Endothelial-leukocyte interactions



International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research Volume 8, Issue 9, September-2017 ISSN 2229-5518

- 9. Endothelial-platelet interactions
- 10. Endothelial cell apoptosis in vivo Detection
- 11. The roles of endothelial cells in innate and adaptive immune responses
- 12. Role of anti-inflammatory cytokines in endothelial dysfunction
- 13. Effects of Endothelial as Anti-Inflammatory Therapy
- 14. Hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA Reductase Inhibitors (Statins)
- 15. Conclusion
- 16. Reference

1. Introduction

The endothelium is a highly specialized cellular system that is composed of $1-6-10^{13}$ endothelial cells (ECs)³ lining a total surface area of 4000-7000 m2(1),(2), and plays a key role in physiological processes such as bloodsupply, nutrient delivery, metabolic homeostasis, and immunecell trafficking, as well as pathological processes such as inflammation(3),(4),(5). Inflammation can be seen as a vascular response(6), where ECs become activated, display increased leakiness, enhanced leukocyte adhesiveness, and procoagulant activity, and form new vessels (7). Thus, an immune response resulting in inflammation depends strictly on a permissive microvasculature, which normally exerts the opposite function of preventing the indiscriminate influx of immune cells into a tissue. Compared with large blood vessels, the microvascular bed constitutes the bulk of the overall endothelial surface, covering an area 50 times greater than that of all large vessels combined (8). Major qualitative differences exist between macro- and microvascular ECs, the latter being able to generate a range of mediators, to display distinct adhesion molecule patterns, to activate unique sets of genes, and to form capillaries (9),(10),(11). Much of the information on the contribution of ECs to immunity and inflammation derives from HUVECs(12), but these cells do not reflect the highly specialized nature of microvascular ECs, and the study of ECs from distinct body compartments has confirmed their heterogeneity (9), (10), (13), (14), (15). This is best exemplified by the differential expression of homing ligands involved in immune cell trafficking. Mad-CAM-1 is expressed by Pever's patches high endothelial venules to recruit-4-7 homing receptor-positive naive lymphocytes(16). Likewise, ECs from brain, liver, and other organs express distinct surface markers, protein transporters, and intracellular enzymes(15),(17),(18). The mechanisms responsible for EC heterogeneity are unclear, but tissue-specific and transcription factors likely contribute to the induction or maintenance of specialized EC features(13),(17), In addition to its function in leukocyte trafficking, distribution, and homing, recent evidence indicates that microvascular ECs play a far more direct role in immunity. This review will show that the multifaceted properties of the organ-specific microvasculature convert the perceived passive role of ECs to an active one that controls innate and adaptive immunity, coagulation, and inflammation.

2. Structure and fundamental functions of endothelial cells

Blood vessels are composed of a sheet of inner endothelium, which is a monolayer of endothelial cells that surrounds functional cells, including pericytes and vascular smooth

muscle cells, and extracellular matrix (19). The endothelial and supportive mural cells are tightly bound to each other, partly through integrins, thereby maintaining vascular integrity. The endothelium is composed of 1-6 x10¹³ endothelial cells that cover more than 1000 m² of surface area throughout the body (20),(2). In the absence of inflammation, vascular endothelial cells serve as an essential barrier between the bloodstream and vesselwalls. In addition to being a physical barrier, endothelial cells have various indispensable functions, which can be classified into three major groups: 1) modulation of metabolic homeostasis (trophic action), 2) control of vascular hemodynamics (tonic action) and 3) regulation of vascular permeability, coagulation and cell extravasation (trafficking) (21). These functions of endothelial cells change during the transition from quiescent to inflammatory conditions. The initial change of the vessels in acute inflammation is characterized by increased blood flow secondary to arteriolar and capillary bed dilation (erythema and warmth)(7),(22).Increased vascular permeability, as a consequence of either widening of interendothelial cell junctions of the venules or direct endothelial cell injury, results in an exudate of protein-rich extravascular fluid (tissue edema)(23),(24). Endothelial cells normally maintain a balance between the pro- and anticoagulant activities, but they express numerous pro-coagulant factors in response to injury or inflammatory cytokines. Endothelial injury exposes the underlying subendothelial von Willebrand factor and basement membrane collagen, stimulating platelet adhesion, platelet activation and aggregation. These interactions of platelets and endothelium have a profound impact on clot formation as a defense mechanism. Concurrently, leukocyte recruitment from the bloodstream into the extravascular tissue at sites of pathogen invasion or tissue damage is a multi-step process: 1) loose attachment to and roll-over on the endothelium (mediated by selectins and carbohydrates on endothelial cells), 2) firm and selective attachment to the endothelium (mediated byintegrins and chemokines), 3) migration through interendothelial spaces and 4) detachment from the blood vessel (5),(25),(26). Thus, these structural and functional changes of endothelial cells facilitate leukocyte recruitmentand alter gene expression profiles in inflammatory conditions and are collectively called "endothelial activation" (27).

3. Pathophysiology of endothelial dysfunction

The endothelium maintains normal vascular tone and blood fluidity, with no or little expression of proinflammatory factors under normal homeostatic conditions. However, both traditional and novel cardiovascular risk factors including smoking, aging, hypercholesterolemia, hypertension, hyperglycemia, and a family history of premature atherosclerotic disease are all associated with alteration in endothelial function(28),(29),(30). This results in a chronic inflammatory process accompanied by a loss of antithrombotic factors and an increase in vasoconstrictor and prothrombotic products, in addition to abnormalvasoreactivity, therefore elevating risk of cardiovascular events(31). More recently, endothelial dysfunction has also been associated with obesity, elevated C-reactive protein, and chronic systemicinfection(32),(33),(34),(35),(36),(37).

4. Oxidative stress and endothelial cell dysfunction

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are generated at sites of inflammation and injury and at low concentrations can function as signaling molecules participating in the regulation of fundamental cell activities such as cell growth and cell adaptation responses; whereas at higher concentrations, ROS can cause cellular injury and death. The vascular endothelium, which regulates the passage of macromolecules and circulating cells from blood to tissues, is a major target of oxidative stress, playing a critical role in the pathophysiology of several vascular diseases and disorders. Specifically, oxidative stress increases vascular endothelial permeability and promotes leukocyte adhesion, which is coupled with alterations in endothelial signal transduction and redox-regulated transcription factors(38).

5. Endothelial Dysfunction

Endothelial dysfunction is a systemic pathological condition which can be broadly defined as an imbalance between vasodilating and vasoconstricting substances produced by the endothelium or overall functions of the endothelium. Normal functions of endothelial cells include production of nitric oxide (NO), regulation of platelet adhesion, coagulation, immune function, control of volume, and electrolyte content of the intravascular and extravascular spaces. Endothelial dysfunction is primarily due to reduction in NO bioavailabilty, and a marker for vascular health. Endothelial dysfunction can result from and/or contribute to several disease processes, as occurs in diabetes mellitus, hypercholesterolemia and hypertension, and also due to environmental factors, such as smoking tobacco products and exposure to air pollution(39).Specifically, endothelial dysfunction is associated with reduced nitric oxide production, anticoagulant properties, increased platelet aggregation, increased expression of adhesion molecules, increased expression of chemokines and cytokines, and increased reactive oxygen species production from the endothelium(40). These all play important roles in the development of diabetic vascular complications including atherosclerosis and other vascular pathologies. Importantly, endothelial dysfunction has been shown to be of prognostic significance in predicting vascular events(41),(42), so endothelial function testing may potentiate the detection of cardiovascular diseases such as myocardial infarction, peripheral vascular disease, ischemic stroke, and others(43),(44). An important feature of endothelial dysfunction is the inability of arteries and arterioles to optimally dilate inresponse to an appropriate stimulus by vasodilators acting on the endothelium. This endothelial dysfunction is notoriously associated with decreased NO bioavailability, which is due to impaired NO production by the endothelium and/or increased inactivation of NO by reactive oxygen species (45), (46). Reduced NO bioavailability decreases the ability of endothelial cells to execute their functions in regulating vascular tone and growth, thrombosis, immune cell responses, and vascular barrier functions.

6. Mechanisms of Endothelial Dysfunction

While it is clear that multiple chronic inflammatory diseases are associated with endothelial dysfunction and cardiovascular morbidity, the mechanistic links between inflammatory diseases and CVD have not been fully elucidated. The role of traditional cardiovascular risk factors in patients with RA and SLE has received considerable attention, though traditional factors alone are insufficient to explain the excess burden of CVD in these populations. It seems likely that chronic inflammation, a shared feature of these diseases, is involved in the pathogenesis of accelerated endothelial dysfunction. Several potential mechanisms are explored



below. The vascular endothelium is known to be a target of TNF- α . On a cellular level, TNF- α induces the expression of genes associated with inflammation, coagulation and proliferation. Decreased nitric oxide (NO) bioavailability appears to be a common and critical step linking TNF-a to endothelial dysfunction. Multiple groups have shown that eNOS protein expression is reduced via TNF-α- induced inhibition of eNOS promoter activity and mRNA destabilization (47), (48). NO availability is also compromised in the presence of TNF- α secondary to impaired degradation of ADMA, an endogenous inhibitor of NOS. Furthermore, TNF-a induces CAM expression on the surface of vascular endothelial cells. This effect is mediated via isoform one of the TNF-receptor (TNFR1). Activation of TNFR1 leads to increased CAM expression via induction of NF-Kb(48),(49).NO is also known to be an inhibitor of CAM expression(50). TNF- α may therefore lead to increased CAM expression by multiple pathways. The effect of TNF-a on NO availability and subsequent endothelial dysfunction has also beendemonstrated in vivo in both animal and human models. Intravenous delivery of TNF-α in rats leads to impaired endothelium-dependent vasodilation(51).Intra-arterial infusion of TNF-a in humans also impairs local endothelium-dependent vasodilation measured by FBF(52).Non-specific induction of an acute systemic inflammatory response by Salmonella typhi vaccination also causes reduced FBF(53). This effect is mediated by impaired NO bioavailability as demonstrated by rescue of vascular reactivity with the NOS inhibitor L-NNMA (L-NGmonomethyl Arginine)(52). The downstream effects of TNF- α -mediated inflammation are illustrated in an apoE, TNF-a mouse model. Mice deficient in TNF-a develop less atherosclerosis than those with intact TNF- α expression (i.e., apoE single knockout)(54). This is associated with decreased expression of ICAM-1, VCAM-1 and monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1). It is well known that TNF-a plays a critical role in the inflammation associated with RA, SLE, IBD, psoriasis and spondyloarthritis. This common feature is illustrated by the efficacy of anti-TNF-a agents in many of these diseases. Given the central role of TNF-a in the pathogenesis of many chronic inflammatory diseases and its well-characterized effects on the endothelium as described above, it is reasonable to conclude that increased circulating TNF-a is implicated in the induction of endothelial dysfunction and initiation of atherosclerosis in these diseases. This hypothesis is supported by the beneficial effects of anti-TNF-a agents on endothelial function in patients with chronic inflammatory diseases, as discussed later.

7. Innate immunity

The primary function of innate immunity is to recognize pathogen- associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) through "pattern recognition receptors." Among these, TLRs are surface molecules that trigger signals resulting in proinflammatory gene expression, leukocyte chemotaxis, phagocytosis, cytotoxicity, and activation of adaptive immune responses(55).Several reports have demonstrated TLRs on ECs(56).EC expression of TLR1 is still in question. One report showed TLR1 immunoreactivity in atherosclerotic endothelium(57), while another failed to demonstrate TLR1 expression by human microvascular EC lines in vitro, even though TLR1 transfection inhibited TLR4-dependent signaling(58).TLR2 has been identified on atherosclerotic endothelium, expressed by von Willebrand factor-positive ECs and markedly up-regulated in vascular inflammation(57).Microvascular EClines express low levels of TLR2 mRNA and protein, which are up-regulated upon stimulation with LPS, TNF-_, and IFN-_ in a NF-_B- and MyD88-dependent manner(59),(60),(61).Neutrophil NADPH

oxidase is involved in EC TLR2 up-regulation, as neutropenic mice show decreased endothelial TLR2 expression(61). This indicates a "cross-talk" between polymorphonuclear neutrophils and ECs that would enhance vascular defenses by up-regulating TLR2. Dunzendorfer et al.(60).reported that human coronary ECs are hyporesponsive to TLR2-specific ligands. Given the current belief that TLRs are proatherogenic, flow suppression of TLR2 expression may be atheroprotective. Functional expression of TLR2 may not be universal because human dermal microvascular ECs fail to respond to TLR2 agonists such as Mycobacterium tuberculosis 19-kDa lipoprotein or phenol-soluble modulin unless transfected with TLR2(62),(63).TLR3 is spontaneously present on HUVECs, and ligation by poly(I:C) up-regulates its expression together with that of IFN-_, IL-28, IL-29, and STAT1(64).TLR4 expression has been demonstrated on various ECs and significantly increases under inflammatory conditions. TLR4 is expressed in coronary ECs(65), and is overexpressed and colocalizes with the p65 subunit of NF-_B in coronary atherosclerotic plaques, suggesting activation of TLR4 at this site(57). This possibility is supported by the demonstration that LPS activates NF-_B in dermal microvascular ECs and that LPS, IFN-_, and TNF-_ up-regulate TLR4 mRNA and protein(59).LPS stimulation of coronary ECs induces production of IL-6, IL-8, and MCP-1, transcription of IL-1_ and TNF-a mRNA, as well as expression of ICAM-1, VCAM-1, and endothelial leukocyte adhesion molecule-1(66). Neutrophil accumulation appears to depend on TLR4 expression by ECs rather than leukocytes as sequestration of neutrophils in the lung is deeply impaired in endothelial TLR4_/_ mice (67). The latter observation contrasts with the significant decrease of leukocyte binding caused by LPS in human intestinal microvascular ECs, perhaps reflecting a tolerance of ECs to high levels of endotoxin to which they constantly exposed in the gut microenvironment(68). Finally, LPS can directly initiate angiogenesis through TNFR-associated factor 6-dependent signaling pathways(69). Because epithelial bacterial translocation exposes sub-epithelial micro-vessels to bacterial products, Maaser et al.(70), studied the effect of the TLR5 ligand flagellin on HUVECs, human intestinal microvascular ECs, and dermal ECs. They found that all three ECs constitutively expressed high levels of TLR5mRNA and protein, and Salmonella-infected intestinal epithelial cells induced ICAM-1 expression in cocultured ECs. The functional role of EC TLR5 was demonstrated by induction of leukocyte adhesion and transmigration by flagellin, pointing to a previously unrecognized role of endothelial TLR5 in innate Immunity(70). In the only report investigating the expression of TLR7 or TLR8 by ECs, neither TLR was found to be expressed in HUVECs(64). In contrast, TLR9 is spontaneously expressed by mouse and rat lung ECs, and exposure to CpG DNA induces an inflammatory response manifested by IL-8 and ICAM-1 induction through p38 MAPK- and NF-Bmediated pathways(71). Other receptors mediating innate immunity include the nucleotide- binding oligomerization domains (NODs) 1 and 2, two cytosolic proteins that function as sensors for microbial peptides and regulators of inflammation(72). Both NODs have been detected in ECs and are up-regulated in response to LPS and proinflammatory cytokines.HUVECinvasion by Listeria monocytogenes induces IL-8 production, NF- activation, and p38MAPKsignaling in a NOD1-dependent fashion(73). Muramyl dipeptide enhances IL-6 release by ocular ECs spontaneously expressing NOD2 and induces NF-B transcriptional activity in transfected HUVECs overexpressing wild-type NOD2(74),(75).

8. Endothelial-leukocyte interactions

The distribution of leukocytes is tightly regulated by numerous homing and adhesion molecules (receptor and counterreceptor pairs) on the surface of microvascular and immune

cells(76).EC-mediated leukocyte distribution displays specialized features depending on the tissue where lymphoid cells are destined to reside(77).In inflammation, ECs still control the type and number of immune cells that extravasate into the interstitium but in a dysregulated fashion(25).Multiple reviews are available on this subject, and the contribution of ECs to adaptive immunity through leukocyte distribution will not be discussed here. A different type of leukocyte-endothelial interaction relevant to adaptive immunity occurs in the induction of transplantationtolerance. Alloantigen-specific CD8_CD28_ T suppressor cells induce expression of inhibitory receptors anddown-regulate adhesion molecules on ECs, rendering them tolerogenic(78).In addition, alloantigen presentation by EC to CD4_ T cells induces CD4_CD25_Foxp3_ regulatory T cells capable of suppressing proliferation of alloreactive T cells in vitro and in vivo(79).

9. Endothelial-platelet interactions

Platelets normally circulate without attaching to the endothelium, but do so when ECs become activated, and platelet adherence triggers inflammation(80). The molecular pairs allowing adhesion of platelets to endothelium include Pselectin glycoprotein ligand 1/P-selectin, GPIb/von Willebrand factor, GPIb/P-selectin, and GPIIb/IIIa/fibrinogen/ ICAM-1, respectively (81). Recently, EC-derived fractalkinehas also been show to contribute to platelet activation and adhesion(82). Activated platelets produce massive amounts of proinflammatory mediators and cross-talk with and activate different cells; in turn, platelets are activated by ECderived proinflammatory substances binding to cognate receptors on the platelets' surface(83),(84).Platelets' mediators are kept in the _-granules and dense body systems(85),and are promptlyreleased upon activation, including histamine, serotonin, thromboxane A2, platelet-activating factor, PGE2 and PGD2, TGF-, platelet-derived growth factor, multiple chemokines (RANTES, epithelia-derived neutrophil-activating 78, MCP-3, growth-related oncogene, and MIP-1), IL-1, and thrombocidins, all of which target immune cells(80),(86).Some of these products control vascular tone and permeability, but platelets also release trophic factors for ECs like vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), which promotes angiogenesis(87). In addition, platelets release heparanase, causing degradation of extracellular matrix and facilitating leukocyte extravasation(88). In addition to molecules that alter EC function, platelets produce molecules that directly impact on adaptive immunity, like membrane-bound and soluble CD40L, which engages CD40 on the surface of ECs, leading to adhesion molecule up-regulation, chemokine secretion, and leukocyte recruitment(89). In this regard, activated platelets mimic the action of activated T cells, which express and release CD40L(90).In doing so, platelet modulate the immune response by establishing a link between innate and adaptive immunity(91). Finally, CD40 ligation by platelet CD40L not only promotes immune activation and inflammation but also tissue factorinduction and blood coagulation(92).

10. Endothelial cell apoptosis in vivo Detection

Endothelial cell apoptosis in vivo can be assessed in situ by one or more of several techniques, including immuno-staining for endothelial specific markers, detection of DNA strand breaks by terminal deoxynucleotidyltransferase-mediated dUTP nick end-labeling (TUNEL), assay for caspase activation, and measurement of phosphatidyl serine exposure by annexin V binding (e.g.Refs(93),(94).As the TUNEL technique can detect DNA breaks associated with proliferation, it should ideally be supported by other assays for apoptosis. Apoptotic cell death, in general, and endothelial cell apoptosis, in particular, may be difficult to observe in vivo as apoptotic



cells are rapidly phagocytosed and their digestion can be completed within 60 min (95). Notably, endothelial cells can engulf apoptotic cells or apoptotic bodies(96),(97). Also, apoptotic endothelial cells may detach from the vessel wall into the circulation. For these reasons it may be difficult to document endothelial cell apoptosis in situ. Consequently, detection of circulating apoptotic endothelial cells or endothelial microparticles has been proposed as an alternative technique to evaluate endothelial cell dysfunction, including apoptosis, in vivo(98),(99).Circulating apoptotic endothelial cells were found in patients suffering from sickle cell anemia (100), and systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE)(101). The determination of whether circulating endothelial cells are apoptotic may be disease specific. For example, at least some of the circulating endothelial cells in sickle cell anemia were show to be viable as they survived for at least 11 days in culture and 66% of the total number of circulating cells were alive when evaluated by fluorescent staining(100). Circulating endothelial cells in acute myocardial infarction and unstable angina were <10% apoptotic as determined by the TUNEL technique(102).Conversely, circulating endothelial cells in SLEwere approximately 89% apoptotic(101).Endothelial cell microparticles have been shown to be elevated in diverse diseases, including thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP), lupus, myocardial infarction, and multiple sclerosis(98),(99). However, the circulating microparticles derived from endothelial cells could result from surface blebs on cells as a result of activation rather than apoptosis. Circulating microparticles from patients suffering from congestive heart failure were reported to have elevated phosphatidylserine(103), and others have suggested that these represent release from apoptotic cells(104).

11. The roles of endothelial cells in innate and adaptive immune responses

Allergic disorders commonly involve both chronic tissue inflammation and remodeling caused by immunological reactions to various pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and/orendogenous danger substances released from damaged tissues, i.e., so-called alarmins. Due to their anatomical location, vascular endothelial cells are the final responders to interact with variousalarmins on the epithelial surface. Endothelial cells, as well as epithelial cells, actively participate in both innate and adaptive immune responses, which are crucially involved in the pathogenesisof allergic disorders (105),(106). The primary inflammatory response of tissue cells is initiated by recognition of various PAMPs and alarmins via patternrecognition receptors (PRRs); this is called innate immunity. Indeed, vascular endothelial cells spontaneously express class I major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules and a wide variety of functional PRRs, including Toll-like receptors (TLRs) and nucleotidebinding oligomerization domain-like receptors (NLRs), as reviewed by Daneseet al., (107), as well as various cytokine and chemokine receptors. (108),(109). Endothelial cells are also capable of secreting a broad spectrum of cytokines and chemokines in response to stimulation. As a result, endothelial cells participate in the immune response and rapidly produce various inflammatory molecules (e.g., IL-1a, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, TNF-a, etc.)(110),(111),that are important for endothelial activation Concurrently, endothelial cells can also produce anti-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines that prevent progression of the inflammatory cascade (112). The inflammatory mediators involved in those inflammatory cascades or released by endothelial cells were reviewed and discussed in depth in a recent review article by Mai et al. (106). In addition, in response to some inflammatory stimuli, endothelial cells express class II MHC molecules that present endothelial antigens to immune cells, leading to long-lasting and highly specific protection, known as adaptive immunity(113),(114),(115). Thus, vascular endothelial cells play

> IJSER © 2017 http://www.ijser.org

pivotal roles in both innate and adaptive immune responses. Cascade of allergic inflammation regulated by structural cells Allergic inflammation is regulated by complex interactions among several inflammatory and structural cells via inflammatory mediators. A wide variety of mediators, cytokines and chemokinesexerting many different effects on the airways and skin are known to be involved in the pathology of allergic disorders, maintaining chronic allergic inflammation. A well-known cascade of these networks in allergic inflammation is characterized by a type 2 immune response with production of specific cytokines and chemokines initiated by allergen exposure(116). In addition to acute responses, cytokines and chemokines produced by Th2 cells, mast cells and basophils recruit eosinophils from the blood into the airways and skin, leading to allergic asthma and atopic dermatitis. As noted earlier, besides hematopoietic cells, structural cells such as epithelial cells, fibroblasts and smooth muscle cells are known to contribute to allergic inflammation. Nevertheless, the roles of vascular endothelial cells in allergic inflammation had not yet been well studied.

12. Role of anti-inflammatory cytokines in endothelial dysfunction

The delicate balance between pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines determines the net effect of an inflammatory response. Perturbations in this equilibrium can drive the host defense immune response either towards chronic inflammation (pro-inflammatory) or towards healing (anti-inflammatory). Exposure of endothelial cells to pro-inflammatory cytokines leads to transient and reversible endothelial dysfunction(117),(118). A number ofanti-inflammatory treatment strategies improve endothelial function by preventing pro-inflammatory cytokinesynthesis. Anti-inflammatory cytokines are a series of immune-regulatory molecules that control the proinflammatory cytokines response, which consequently reduces inflammation and promotes healing. In addition, an elevation in the level of anti-inflammatory cytokines can also be found in the development of vascular disease (119), which reflects an early compensatory mechanism and serves as an indicator of pro-inflammatory reactions. Major anti-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-33, IL-35, and IL-37 also participate in regulating the function of EC. The following will discuss two of these anti-inflammatory cytokines including IL-10 and TGF- β in detail.

13. Effects of Endothelial as Anti-Inflammatory Therapy

Methotrexate remains the mainstay of therapy for RA and several other rheumatic diseases. An inhibitor of folic acid metabolism, methotrexate sharply reduces systemic inflammation anddramatically improves synovitis in patients with inflammatory arthritis. Methotrexate also appears to improve endothelium-dependent vasodilation in patients with RA, although the data are limited(120). Inhibitors of TNF- α have been employed with increasing frequency for patients with a variety of inflammatory diseases, including RA, spondyloarthritis, IBD and psoriasis. The critical role of TNF- α in the generation of severe systemic inflammation in these conditions likely explains the effectiveness of these agents. TNF- α may also be largely responsible for the endothelial dysfunction and accelerated atherosclerosis in these patients, making anti-TNF- α agents attractive therapeutic options for preventing CVD in this population. Numerous studies have demonstrated improved endothelium-dependent vasodilation in patients with RA after initiation of anti-TNF- α therapy. This has been demonstrated in a vessel-specific manner by measuring FBF immediately after intra-brachial infusion of infliximab. In



this model Cardillo and colleagues demonstrated that the local effect of infliximab on the brachial artery improved brachial artery endothelial function without altering markers of systemic inflammation(121). Multiple other studies have demonstrated that anti-TNF- α agents improve FMD in RA patients who are refractory to conventional disease modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARD) therapy(122),(123),(124),(125),(126),(127).Anti-TNF-agents also improve endothelium-dependent vasodilation in patients with spondyloarthritis(128),(129),cutaneous psoriasis (130),and IBD(131),although studies are small and few. Improvement in endothelial function with anti-TNF- α therapy may correlate with improvement in disease activity and markers of systemic inflammation(132). The duration of the response has been controversial, however. Several studies in RA have shown that anti-TNF-a agents induce a rapid improvement in FMD that is lost after a period of weeks despite effective control of disease activity and systemic inflammation(124), (126). Other studies have demonstrated sustained improvements in endothelial function(125),(133). Factors contributing to differences in duration of response remain unclear. Anti-TNF-α agents have also been shown to reduce levels of plasma biomarkers of endothelial dysfunction, although results have been inconsistent. Klimiuk et al.(134), demonstrated that etanercept administration reduced levels of soluble ICAM-1, VCAM-1 and E-selectin in patients with RA. Gonzalez-Gay and colleagues found reductions only in soluble ICAM-3 and P-selectin after infliximab infusions for patients with RA (135). Adalimumab therapy in patients with psoriasis has been shown to reduce ICAM-1 levels without affecting other CAMs(130). These findings are similar to results from studies examining levels of CAMs at baseline across various inflammatory diseases: it has been difficult to discern a consistent profile of CAM expression prior to or in response to disease-modifying therapy. Although CAM expression may be a general marker for systemic inflammation and endothelial dysfunction, its utility in clinical and translational research may be limited. Corticosteroids have long been used to manage a variety of inflammatory diseases, but their effects on CVD have been controversial. The association between steroids and insulin resistance and obesity has raised concern for increased cardiovascular risk, while their anti-inflammatory effects might mitigate this risk. Studies addressing the association between long-term steroid use in RA and CVD have yielded variable results. A 2011 systematic review of studies of lowdose steroid use in RA found that corticosteroids are generally associated with mildly increased cardiovascular risk(136). Studies did not reveal an effect of steroids on markers of subclinical atherosclerosis and endothelial function, however. Other observational studies have demonstrated an association between corticosteroid use and lower rates of subclinical atherosclerosis compared to patients not using steroids(137). Veselinovicand colleagues demonstrated that FMD is higher in RA patients treated with corticosteroids versus notherapy(138). This study conflicts with a randomized prospective study, by Hafstrom, showing that addition of steroids to DMARD therapy does not improve endothelial function in RA patients(139). These results highlight the difficulty of studying the effects of single-agent steroid therapy on patients with inflammatory disease in the modern era. Measuring the added benefit of steroids in the context of background immune-suppressing therapy is unlikely to reveal significant improvements, even if corticosteroids may have this effect in isolation.

14. Hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA Reductase Inhibitors (Statins)

Statins exhibit pleiotropic properties influencing the vasculature that are thought to contribute to their clinical benefit beyond the lipid-lowering effect. Although the mechanisms are incompletely characterized, statins have been shown to improve endothelial dysfunction in

patients with traditional cardiovascular risk factors. Potential mechanisms include upregulation of eNOS, leading to enhanced bioavailability of NO and improved vasoreactivity(136). The use of statins as disease modifying agents and as primary prevention for CVD in patients with chronic inflammatory diseases has also received interest. Statin therapy has been shown to reduce disease severity in patients with RA and has gained attention for use as a diseasemodifying agent in other inflammatory diseases(138), (139),(137),(140),(141). Statins have been also been shown to improve endothelium-dependent vasodilation in patients with RA and SLE(142),(140),(143),(144). This effect appears to correlate positively with measures of systemic inflammation and disease severity(144). There is current interest in studying the long-term effects of statin therapy on hard cardiovascular endpoints. The Trial of Atorvastatin in Rheumatoid Arthritis was the first randomized controlled trial designed to study the effects of statin therapy in RA patients(143). At 6 months, statins significantly improved several markers of disease severity and markers of systemic inflammation compared to placebo. Endothelial function was not assessed, however, and the duration of follow-up was not long enough to detect changes in cardiovascular endpoints. Only two studies to date have addressed the effect of statins on cardiovascular events. Sheng and colleagues conducted a population-based cohort study designed to evaluate the effects of statins on lipid levels, cardiovascular events and allcause mortality in RA and osteoarthritis (OA) patients(145). Statins similarly reduced lipid levels and were protective of cardiovascular events and mortality in RA and OA patients without prior CVD. There was no protective effect in the secondary prevention setting for either cohort, however. Sembet al.(146).demonstrated that statins had a similar effect on cardiovascular events in RA and non-RA patients when used for secondary prevention. Unfortunately, there are no randomized controlled trials addressing the effect of statin therapy on patients with RA.

15. Conclusion

Many stimuli associated with inflammatory and immune vascular diseases have been reported to induce endothelial cell apoptosis in vitro. Moreover, there are several human diseases in which endothelial cell apoptosis has been observed. Transgenic mouse models that constitutively or conditionally express endothelial-restricted transgenes have been developed (e.g. Refs). With this approach it should be possible to protect endothelial cells specifically from apoptosis by over-expression of anti-apoptotic proteins (e.g. Bcl-2 or IAPs) and thereby to determine experimentally the precise role of endothelial cell apoptosis. Recent studies have shed light on the importance of endothelial cells in the development and exacerbation of allergic disorders. These cells produce several key molecules involved in allergic disorders, such as periostin and TARC, which are not only critical to the pathogenesis of the disorders but also already serve as reliable biomarkers reflecting disease progression. Anti-inflammatory cytokines protect against the impairment of endothelial function by counteracting theeffects of proinflammatory cytokines and suppressing oxidative stress. A better understanding of the different functions fulfilled by endothelial cells result not only in a better comprehension of these diseases but also in their prevention and more effective therapeutic treatments. New pharmacological strategies are also being designed to target endothelial cells specifically, and their development is an exciting challenge of and goal for future research.

16. Reference

1. Fishman AP. Endothelium: a distributed organ of diverse capabilities. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 1982;401(1):1-8.

2. Augustin HG, Kozian DH, Johnson RC. Differentiation of endothelial cells: analysis of the constitutive and activated endothelial cell phenotypes. Bioessays. 1994;16(12):901-6.

3. Cook-Mills JM, Deem TL. Active participation of endothelial cells in inflammation. Journal of leukocyte biology. 2005;77(4):487-95.

4. Santiago-Delpin E, Juan S, editors. The endothelium and early immune activation: new perspective and interactions. Transplantation proceedings; 2004: Elsevier.

5. Biedermann BC. Vascular endothelium: checkpoint for inflammation and immunity. Physiology. 2001;16(2):84-8.

6. Majno G. Chronic inflammation: links with angiogenesis and wound healing. The American journal of pathology. 1998;153(4):1035-9.

7. Cines DB, Pollak ES, Buck CA, Loscalzo J, Zimmerman GA, McEver RP, et al. Endothelial cells in physiology and in the pathophysiology of vascular disorders. Blood. 1998;91(10):3527-61.

8. Wolinsky H. A proposal linking clearance of circulating lipoproteins to tissue metabolic activity as a basis for understanding atherogenesis. Circulation research. 1980;47(3):301-11.

9. Gerlach E, Nees S, Becker B. The vascular endothelium: a survey of some newly evolving biochemical and physiological features. Basic research in cardiology. 1985;80(5):459-74.

10. Lang I, Pabst MA, Hiden U, Blaschitz A, Dohr G, Hahn T, et al. Heterogeneity of microvascular endothelial cells isolated from human term placenta and macrovascular umbilical vein endothelial cells. European journal of cell biology. 2003;82(4):163-73.

11. Binion DG, West GA, Ina K, Ziats NP, Emancipator SN, Fiocchi C. Enhanced leukocyte binding by intestinal microvascular endothelial cells in inflammatory bowel disease. Gastroenterology. 1997;112(6):1895-907.

12. Gimbrone MA, Cotran RS, Folkman J. Human vascular endothelial cells in culture growth and DNA synthesis. The Journal of cell biology. 1974;60(3):673-84.

13. Belloni PN, Carney DH, Nicolson GL. Organ-derived microvessel endothelial cells exhibit differential responsiveness to thrombin and other growth factors. Microvascular research. 1992;43(1):20-45.

14. Garlanda C, Dejana E. Heterogeneity of endothelial cells specific markers. Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology. 1997;17(7):1193-202.

15. Ribatti D, Nico B, Vacca A, Roncali L, Dammacco F. Endothelial cell heterogeneity and organ specificity. Journal of hematotherapy & stem cell research. 2002;11(1):81-90.

16. Berlin C, Berg EL, Briskin MJ, Andrew DP, Kilshaw PJ, Holzmann B, et al. $\alpha 4\beta 7$ integrin mediates lymphocyte binding to the mucosal vascular addressin MAdCAM-1. Cell. 1993;74(1):185-95.

17. Pauli BU, Lee C-L. Organ preference of metastasis. The role of organ-specifically modulated endothelial cells. Laboratory investigation; a journal of technical methods and pathology. 1988;58(4):379-87.

18. Aird WC, Edelberg JM, Weiler-Guettler H, Simmons WW, Smith TW, Rosenberg RD. Vascular bed-specific expression of an endothelial cell gene is programmed by the tissue microenvironment. The Journal of cell biology. 1997;138(5):1117-24.

19. Aird WC. Phenotypic heterogeneity of the endothelium I. Structure, function, and mechanisms. Circulation research. 2007;100(2):158-73.

20. Jaffe EA. Cell biology of endothelial cells. Human pathology. 1987;18(3):234-9.

21. Davidson SM. Endothelial mitochondria and heart disease. Cardiovascular research. 2010;88(1):58-66.

22. Moncada S, Higgs E. Nitric oxide and the vascular endothelium. The vascular endothelium I: Springer; 2006. p. 213-54.

23. Minshall R, Malik A. Transport across the endothelium: regulation of endothelial permeability. The Vascular Endothelium I: Springer; 2006. p. e1-e.

24. Bazzoni G, Dejana E. Endothelial cell-to-cell junctions: molecular organization and role in vascular homeostasis. Physiological reviews. 2004;84(3):869-901.

25. Granger DN, Kubes P. The microcirculation and inflammation: modulation of leukocyteendothelial cell adhesion. Journal of Leukocyte Biology. 1994;55(5):662-75.

26. Ley K, Reutershan J. Leucocyte-endothelial interactions in health and disease. The vascular endothelium II: Springer; 2006. p. 97-133.

27. Pober JS, Sessa WC. Evolving functions of endothelial cells in inflammation. Nature Reviews Immunology. 2007;7(10):803-15.

28. Sorensen K, Celermajer D, Georgakopoulos D, Hatcher G, Betteridge D, Deanfield J. Impairment of endothelium-dependent dilation is an early event in children with familial hypercholesterolemia and is related to the lipoprotein (a) level. Journal of Clinical Investigation. 1994;93(1):50.

29. Loscalzo J, Schafer AI. Thrombosis and hemorrhage: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2003.

30. Libby P, Ridker PM, Maseri A. Inflammation and atherosclerosis. Circulation. 2002;105(9):1135-43.

31. Bonetti PO, Lerman LO, Lerman A. Endothelial dysfunction a marker of atherosclerotic risk. Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology. 2003;23(2):168-75.

32. Celermajer DS, Sorensen K, Gooch V, Sullivan I, Lloyd J, Deanfield J, et al. Non-invasive detection of endothelial dysfunction in children and adults at risk of atherosclerosis. The lancet. 1992;340(8828):1111-5.

33. Steinberg HO, Chaker H, Leaming R, Johnson A, Brechtel G, Baron AD. Obesity/insulin resistance is associated with endothelial dysfunction. Implications for the syndrome of insulin resistance. Journal of Clinical Investigation. 1996;97(11):2601.

34. Thögersen AM, Jansson J-H, Boman K, Nilsson TK, Weinehall L, Huhtasaari F, et al. High plasminogen activator inhibitor and tissue plasminogen activator levels in plasma precede a first acute myocardial infarction in both men and women Evidence for the fibrinolytic system as an independent primary risk factor. Circulation. 1998;98(21):2241-7.

35. Cushman M, Lemaitre RN, Kuller LH, Psaty BM, Macy EM, Sharrett AR, et al. Fibrinolytic Activation Markers Predict Myocardial Infarction in the Elderly The Cardiovascular Health Study. Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology. 1999;19(3):493-8.

36. Fichtlscherer S, Rosenberger G, Walter DH, Breuer S, Dimmeler S, Zeiher AM. Elevated C-reactive protein levels and impaired endothelial vasoreactivity in patients with coronary artery disease. Circulation. 2000;102(9):1000-6.

37. Prasad A, Zhu J, Halcox JP, Waclawiw MA, Epstein SE, Quyyumi AA. Predisposition to atherosclerosis by infections role of endothelial dysfunction. Circulation. 2002;106(2):184-90.

38. Lum H, Roebuck KA. Oxidant stress and endothelial cell dysfunction. American Journal of Physiology-Cell Physiology. 2001;280(4):C719-C41.

39. Cai H, Harrison DG. Endothelial dysfunction in cardiovascular diseases: the role of oxidant stress. Circulation research. 2000;87(10):840-4.

40. Al-Isa AN, Thalib L, Akanji AO. Circulating markers of inflammation and endothelial dysfunction in Arab adolescent subjects: reference ranges and associations with age, gender, body mass and insulin sensitivity. Atherosclerosis. 2010;208(2):543-9.

41. Corrado E, Rizzo M, Coppola G, Muratori I, Carella M, Novo S. Endothelial dysfunction and carotid lesions are strong predictors of clinical events in patients with early stages of atherosclerosis: a 24-month follow-up study. Coronary artery disease. 2008;19(3):139-44.

42. Perticone F, Ceravolo R, Pujia A, Ventura G, Iacopino S, Scozzafava A, et al. Prognostic significance of endothelial dysfunction in hypertensive patients. Circulation. 2001;104(2):191-6.

43. Bucala R, Tracey KJ, Cerami A. Advanced glycosylation products quench nitric oxide and mediate defective endothelium-dependent vasodilatation in experimental diabetes. Journal of Clinical Investigation. 1991;87(2):432.

44. Suuronen EJ, Hazra S, Zhang P, Vincent R, Kumarathasan P, Zhang Y, et al. Impairment of human cell-based vasculogenesis in rats by hypercholesterolemia-induced endothelial dysfunction and rescue with l-arginine supplementation. The Journal of thoracic and cardiovascular surgery. 2010;139(1):209-16. e2.

45. Kaneto H, Katakami N, Matsuhisa M, Matsuoka T-a. Role of reactive oxygen species in the progression of type 2 diabetes and atherosclerosis. Mediators of inflammation. 2010;2010.

46. Witting PK, Rayner BS, Wu B-J, Ellis NA, Stocker R. Hydrogen peroxide promotes endothelial dysfunction by stimulating multiple sources of superoxide anion radical production and decreasing nitric oxide bioavailability. Cellular Physiology and Biochemistry. 2008;20(5):255-68.

47. Neumann P, Gertzberg N, Johnson A. TNF-α induces a decrease in eNOS promoter activity. American Journal of Physiology-Lung Cellular and Molecular Physiology. 2004;286(2):L452-L9.

48. Kleinbongard P, Heusch G, Schulz R. TNFα in atherosclerosis, myocardial ischemia/reperfusion and heart failure. Pharmacology & therapeutics. 2010;127(3):295-314.

49. Rajan S, Ye J, Bai S, Huang F, Guo YL. NF- κ B, but not p38 MAP Kinase, is required for TNF- α -induced expression of cell adhesion molecules in endothelial cells. Journal of cellular biochemistry. 2008;105(2):477-86.

50. Bergh N, Ulfhammer E, Glise K, Jern S, Karlsson L. Influence of TNF-α and biomechanical stress on endothelial anti-and prothrombotic genes. Biochemical and biophysical research communications. 2009;385(3):314-8.

51. Wang P, Ba ZF, Chaudry IH. Administration of tumor necrosis factor-alpha in vivo depresses endothelium-dependent relaxation. American Journal of Physiology-Heart and Circulatory Physiology. 1994;266(6):H2535-H41.

52. Chia S, Qadan M, Newton R, Ludlam CA, Fox KA, Newby DE. Intra-arterial tumor necrosis factor-α impairs endothelium-dependent vasodilatation and stimulates local tissue plasminogen activator release in humans. Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology. 2003;23(4):695-701.

53. Hingorani AD, Cross J, Kharbanda RK, Mullen MJ, Bhagat K, Taylor M, et al. Acute systemic inflammation impairs endothelium-dependent dilatation in humans. Circulation. 2000;102(9):994-9.

54. Ohta H, Wada H, Niwa T, Kirii H, Iwamoto N, Fujii H, et al. Disruption of tumor necrosis factor-α gene diminishes the development of atherosclerosis in ApoE-deficient mice. Atherosclerosis. 2005;180(1):11-7.

55. Iwasaki A, Medzhitov R. Toll-like receptor control of the adaptive immune responses. Nature immunology. 2004;5(10):987-95. 56. Heidemann J, Domschke W, Kucharzik T, Maaser C. Intestinal microvascular endothelium and innate immunity in inflammatory bowel disease: a second line of defense? Infection and immunity. 2006;74(10):5425-32.

57. Edfeldt K, Swedenborg J, Hansson GK, Yan Z-q. Expression of toll-like receptors in human atherosclerotic lesions A possible pathway for plaque activation. Circulation. 2002;105(10):1158-61.

58. Spitzer JH, Visintin A, Mazzoni A, Kennedy MN, Segal DM. Toll-like receptor 1 inhibits Toll-like receptor 4 signaling in endothelial cells. European journal of immunology. 2002;32(4):1182-7.

59. Faure E, Thomas L, Xu H, Medvedev AE, Equils O, Arditi M. Bacterial lipopolysaccharide and IFN-γ induce Toll-like receptor 2 and Toll-like receptor 4 expression in human endothelial cells: role of NF-κB activation. The Journal of Immunology. 2001;166(3):2018-24.

60. Dunzendorfer S, Lee H-K, Tobias PS. Flow-dependent regulation of endothelial Toll-like receptor 2 expression through inhibition of SP1 activity. Circulation research. 2004;95(7):684-91.
61. Fan J, Frey RS, Malik AB. TLR4 signaling induces TLR2 expression in endothelial cells via neutrophil NADPH oxidase. The Journal of clinical investigation. 2003;112(8):1234-43.

62. Bulut Y, Faure E, Thomas L, Equils O, Arditi M. Cooperation of Toll-like receptor 2 and 6 for cellular activation by soluble tuberculosis factor and Borrelia burgdorferi outer surface protein A lipoprotein: role of Toll-interacting protein and IL-1 receptor signaling molecules in Toll-like receptor 2 signaling. The Journal of Immunology. 2001;167(2):987-94.

63. Faure E, Equils O, Sieling PA, Thomas L, Zhang FX, Kirschning CJ, et al. Bacterial lipopolysaccharide activates NF-κB through toll-like receptor 4 (TLR-4) in cultured human dermal endothelial cells differential expression of TLR-4 and TLR-2 in endothelial cells. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2000;275(15):11058-63.

64. Tissari J, Sirén J, Meri S, Julkunen I, Matikainen S. IFN-α enhances TLR3-mediated antiviral cytokine expression in human endothelial and epithelial cells by up-regulating TLR3 expression. The Journal of Immunology. 2005;174(7):4289-94.

65. Dunzendorfer S, Lee H-K, Soldau K, Tobias PS. Toll-like receptor 4 functions intracellularly in human coronary artery endothelial cells: roles of LBP and sCD14 in mediating LPS responses. The FASEB journal. 2004;18(10):1117-9.

66. Zeuke S, Ulmer AJ, Kusumoto S, Katus HA, Heine H. TLR4-mediated inflammatory activation of human coronary artery endothelial cells by LPS. Cardiovascular research. 2002;56(1):126-34.

67. Andonegui G, Bonder CS, Green F, Mullaly SC, Zbytnuik L, Raharjo E, et al. Endothelium-derived Toll-like receptor-4 is the key molecule in LPS-induced neutrophil sequestration into lungs. The Journal of clinical investigation. 2003;111(7):1011-20.

68. Ogawa H, Rafiee P, Heidemann J, Fisher PJ, Johnson NA, Otterson MF, et al. Mechanisms of endotoxin tolerance in human intestinal microvascular endothelial cells. The Journal of Immunology. 2003;170(12):5956-64.

69. Pollet I, Opina CJ, Zimmerman C, Leong KG, Wong F, Karsan A. Bacterial lipopolysaccharide directly induces angiogenesis through TRAF6-mediated activation of NF-кB and c-Jun N-terminal kinase. Blood. 2003;102(5):1740-2.

70. Maaser C, Heidemann J, von Eiff C, Lugering A, Spahn TW, Binion DG, et al. Human intestinal microvascular endothelial cells express Toll-like receptor 5: a binding partner for bacterial flagellin. The Journal of Immunology. 2004;172(8):5056-62.

71. Li J, Ma Z, Tang Z-L, Stevens T, Pitt B, Li S. CpG DNA-mediated immune response in pulmonary endothelial cells. American Journal of Physiology-Lung Cellular and Molecular Physiology. 2004;287(3):L552-L8.

72. Inohara N, Nuñez G. NODs: intracellular proteins involved in inflammation and apoptosis. Nature Reviews Immunology. 2003;3(5):371-82.

73. Opitz B, Püschel A, Beermann W, Hocke AC, Förster S, Schmeck B, et al. Listeria monocytogenes activated p38 MAPK and induced IL-8 secretion in a nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain 1-dependent manner in endothelial cells. The Journal of Immunology. 2006;176(1):484-90.

74. Davey MP, Martin TM, Planck SR, Lee J, Zamora D, Rosenbaum JT. Human endothelial cells express NOD2/CARD15 and increase IL-6 secretion in response to muramyl dipeptide. Microvascular research. 2006;71(2):103-7.

75. Oh H-M, Lee H-J, Seo G-S, Choi E-Y, Kweon S-H, Chun C-H, et al. Induction and localization of NOD2 protein in human endothelial cells. Cellular immunology. 2005;237(1):37-44.

76. Butcher EC, Picker LJ. Lymphocyte homing and homeostasis. Science. 1996;272(5258):60.

77. Butcher EC, Williams M, Youngman K, Rott L, Briskin M. Lymphocyte trafficking and regional immunity. Advances in immunology. 1999;72:209-53.

78. Manavalan JS, Kim-Schulze S, Scotto L, Naiyer AJ, Vlad G, Colombo PC, et al. Alloantigen specific CD8+ CD28– FOXP3+ T suppressor cells induce ILT3+ ILT4+ tolerogenic endothelial cells, inhibiting alloreactivity. International immunology. 2004;16(8):1055-68.

79. Krupnick AS, Gelman AE, Barchet W, Richardson S, Kreisel FH, Turka LA, et al. Cutting edge: murine vascular endothelium activates and induces the generation of allogeneic CD4+ 25+ Foxp3+ regulatory T cells. The Journal of Immunology. 2005;175(10):6265-70.

80. Weyrich AS, Zimmerman GA. Platelets: signaling cells in the immune continuum. Trends in immunology. 2004;25(9):489-95.

81. Tailor A, Cooper D, Granger DN. Platelet–vessel wall interactions in the microcirculation. Microcirculation. 2005;12(3):275-85.

82. Schäfer A, Schulz C, Eigenthaler M, Fraccarollo D, Kobsar A, Gawaz M, et al. Novel role of the membrane-bound chemokine fractalkine in platelet activation and adhesion. Blood. 2004;103(2):407-12.

83. Selak M. Neutrophil-platelet interactions in inflammation. Receptor. 1993;4(1):3-7.

84. Weyrich AS, Prescott SM, Zimmerman GA. Platelets, Endothelial Cells, Inflammatory Chemokines, and Restenosis Complex Signaling in the Vascular Play Book. Circulation. 2002;106(12):1433-5.

85. Klinger MH, Jelkmann W. Review: role of blood platelets in infection and inflammation. Journal of interferon & cytokine research. 2002;22(9):913-22.

86. Brandt E, Ludwig A, Petersen F, Flad HD. Platelet-derived CXC chemokines: old players in new games. Immunological reviews. 2000;177(1):204-16.

87. Salgado R, Benoy I, Bogers J, Weytjens R, Vermeulen P, Dirix L, et al. Platelets and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF): a morphological and functional study. Angiogenesis. 2001;4(1):37-43.

88. Vlodavsky I, Eldor A, Haimovitz-Friedman A, Matzner Y, Ishai-Michaeli R, Lider O, et al. Expression of heparanase by platelets and circulating cells of the immune system: possible involvement in diapedesis and extravasation. Invasion & metastasis. 1991;12(2):112-27.

89. Henn V, Slupsky JR, Gräfe M, Anagnostopoulos I, Förster R, Müller-Berghaus G, et al. CD40 ligand on activated platelets triggers an inflammatory reaction of endothelial cells. Nature. 1998;391(6667):591-4.

90. Danese S, Fiocchi C. Platelet activation and the CD40/CD40 ligand pathway: mechanisms and implications for human disease. Critical Reviews[™] in Immunology. 2005;25(2).

91. Elzey BD, Tian J, Jensen RJ, Swanson AK, Lees JR, Lentz SR, et al. Platelet-mediated modulation of adaptive immunity: a communication link between innate and adaptive immune compartments. Immunity. 2003;19(1):9-19.

92. Slupsky JR, Kalbas M, Willuweit A, Henn V, Kroczek RA, Müller-Berghaus G. Activated platelets induce tissue factor expression on human umbilical vein endothelial cells by ligation of CD40. Thrombosis and haemostasis. 1998;80(6):1008-14.

93. Iwata A, Harlan JM, Vedder NB, Winn RK. The caspase inhibitor z-VAD is more effective than CD18 adhesion blockade in reducing muscle ischemia-reperfusion injury: implication for clinical trials. Blood. 2002;100(6):2077-80.

94. Garcia-Barros M, Paris F, Cordon-Cardo C, Lyden D, Rafii S, Haimovitz-Friedman A, et al. Tumor response to radiotherapy regulated by endothelial cell apoptosis. Science. 2003;300(5622):1155-9.

95. Fadok VA, Bratton DL, Henson PM. Phagocyte receptors for apoptotic cells: recognition, uptake, and consequences. The Journal of clinical investigation. 2001;108(7):957-62.

96. Hess KL, Tudor K-SR, Johnson JD, Osati-Ashtiani F, Askew DS, Cook-Mills JM. Human and murine high endothelial venule cells phagocytose apoptotic leukocytes. Experimental cell research. 1997;236(2):404-11.

97. Dini L, Lentini A, Diez GD, Rocha M, Falasca L, Serafino L, et al. Phagocytosis of apoptotic bodies by liver endothelial cells. Journal of cell science. 1995;108(3):967-73.

98. Diamant M, Tushuizen ME, Sturk A, Nieuwland R. Cellular microparticles: new players in the field of vascular disease? European journal of clinical investigation. 2004;34(6):392-401.

99. Horstman LL, Jy W, Jimenez JJ, Ahn YS. Endothelial microparticles as markers of endothelial dysfunction. Front Biosci. 2004;9(1):118-35.

100. Solovey A, Lin Y, Browne P, Choong S, Wayner E, Hebbel RP. Circulating activated endothelial cells in sickle cell anemia. New England Journal of Medicine. 1997;337(22):1584-90.

101. Rajagopalan S, Somers EC, Brook RD, Kehrer C, Pfenninger D, Lewis E, et al. Endothelial cell apoptosis in systemic lupus erythematosus: a common pathway for abnormal vascular function and thrombosis propensity. Blood. 2004;103(10):3677-83.

102. Mutin M, Canavy I, Blann A, Bory M, Sampol J, Dignat-George F. Direct evidence of endothelial injury in acute myocardial infarction and unstable angina by demonstration of circulating endothelial cells. Blood. 1999;93(9):2951-8.

103. Rössig L, Haendeler J, Mallat Z, Hugel B, Freyssinet J-M, Tedgui A, et al. Congestive heart failure induces endothelial cell apoptosis: protective role of carvedilol. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2000;36(7):2081-9.

104. Aupeix K, Hugel B, Martin T, Bischoff P, Lill H, Pasquali J-L, et al. The significance of shed membrane particles during programmed cell death in vitro, and in vivo, in HIV-1 infection. Journal of Clinical Investigation. 1997;99(7):1546.

105. Krishnaswamy G, Kelley J, Yerra L, Smith JK, Chi DS. Human endothelium as a source of multifunctional cytokines: molecular regulation and possible role in human disease. Journal of interferon & cytokine research. 1999;19(2):91-104.

106. Mai J, Virtue A, Shen J, Wang H, Yang X-F. An evolving new paradigm: endothelial cells-conditional innate immune cells. Journal of hematology & oncology. 2013;6(1):1.

107. Danese S, Dejana E, Fiocchi C. Immune regulation by microvascular endothelial cells: directing innate and adaptive immunity, coagulation, and inflammation. The Journal of Immunology. 2007;178(10):6017-22.

108. Gupta SK, Lysko PG, Pillarisetti K, Ohlstein E, Stadel JM. Chemokine receptors in human endothelial cells functional expression of cxcr4 and its transcriptional regulation by inflammatory cytokines. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 1998;273(7):4282-7.

109. Murdoch C, Monk PN, Finn A. Cxc chemokine receptor expression on human endothelial cells. Cytokine. 1999;11(9):704-12.

110. Krishnaswamy G, Smith JK, Mukkamala R, Hall K, Joyner W, Yerra L, et al. Multifunctional cytokine expression by human coronary endothelium and regulation by monokines and glucocorticoids. Microvascular research. 1998;55(3):189-200.

111. Nilsen E, Johansen F, Jahnsen F, Lundin K, Scholz T, Brandtzaeg P, et al. Cytokine profiles of cultured microvascular endothelial cells from the human intestine. Gut. 1998;42(5):635-42.

112. Kofler S, Nickel T, Michael W. Role of cytokines in cardiovascular diseases: a focus on endothelial responses to inflammation. Clinical science. 2005;108(3):205-13.

113. Lozanoska-Ochser B, Peakman M. Level of major histocompatibility complex class I expression on endothelium in non-obese diabetic mice influences CD8 T cell adhesion and migration. Clinical & Experimental Immunology. 2009;157(1):119-27.

114. Leeuwenberg JF, Damme JV, Meager T, Jeunhomme TM, Buurman WA. Effects of tumor necrosis factor on the interferon- γ -induced major histocompatibility complex class II antigen expression by human endothelial cells. European journal of immunology. 1988;18(9):1469-72.

115. Bradley J, Johnson D, Pober J. Endothelial activation by hydrogen peroxide. Selective increases of intercellular adhesion molecule-1 and major histocompatibility complex class I. The American journal of pathology. 1993;142(5):1598.

116. Barnes PJ. The cytokine network in asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. The Journal of clinical investigation. 2008;118(11):3546-56.

117. Bhagat K, Vallance P. Inflammatory cytokines impair endothelium-dependent dilatation in human veins in vivo. Circulation. 1997;96(9):3042-7.

118. Stenvinkel P. Endothelial dysfunction and inflammation – is there a link? Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation. 2001;16(10):1968-71.

119. Herder C, Carstensen M, Ouwens D. Anti-inflammatory cytokines and risk of type 2 diabetes. Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism. 2013;15(s3):39-50.

120. Hjeltnes G, Hollan I, Førre Ø, Wiik A, Lyberg T, Mikkelsen K, et al. Endothelial function improves within 6 weeks of treatment with methotrexate or methotrexate in combination with a TNF-α inhibitor in rheumatoid arthritis patients. Scandinavian journal of rheumatology. 2012;41(3):240-2.

121. Cardillo C, Schinzari F, Mores N, Mettimano M, Melina D, Zoli A, et al. Intravascular tumor necrosis factor α blockade reverses endothelial dysfunction in rheumatoid arthritis*. Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics. 2006;80(3).

122. Bilsborough W, Keen H, Taylor A, O'Driscoll GJ, Arnolda L, Green DJ. Anti-tumour necrosis factor-alpha therapy over conventional therapy improves endothelial function in adults with rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology international. 2006;26(12):1125-31.

123. Hürlimann D, Forster A, Noll G, Enseleit F, Chenevard R, Distler O, et al. Anti-tumor necrosis factor-α treatment improves endothelial function in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Circulation. 2002;106(17):2184-7.

124. Gonzalez-Juanatey C, Testa A, Garcia-Castelo A, Garcia-Porrua C, Llorca J, Gonzalez-Gay MA. Active but transient improvement of endothelial function in rheumatoid arthritis patients undergoing long-term treatment with anti-tumor necrosis factor α antibody. Arthritis Care & Research. 2004;51(3):447-50.

125. Sidiropoulos P, Siakka P, Pagonidis K, Raptopoulou A, Kritikos H, Tsetis D, et al. Sustained improvement of vascular endothelial function during anti-TNFa treatment in rheumatoid arthritis patients. Scandinavian journal of rheumatology. 2009;38(1):6-10.

126. Bosello S, Santoliquido A, Zoli A, Di Campli C, Flore R, Tondi P, et al. TNF-alpha blockade induces a reversible but transient effect on endothelial dysfunction in patients with long-standing severe rheumatoid arthritis. Clinical rheumatology. 2008;27(7):833-9.

127. Komai N, Morita Y, Sakuta T, Kuwabara A, Kashihara N. Anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy increases serum adiponectin levels with the improvement of endothelial dysfunction in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Modern Rheumatology. 2007;17(5):385-90.

128. Van Eijk I, Peters M, Serne E, Van der Horst-Bruinsma I, Dijkmans B, Smulders Y, et al. Microvascular function is impaired in ankylosing spondylitis and improves after tumour necrosis factor α blockade. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2009;68(3):362-6.

129. Syngle A, Vohra K, Sharma A, Kaur L. Endothelial dysfunction in ankylosing spondylitis improves after tumor necrosis factor-α blockade. Clinical rheumatology. 2010;29(7):763-70.

130. Avgerinou G, Tousoulis D, Siasos G, Oikonomou E, Maniatis K, Papageorgiou N, et al. Anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha treatment with adalimumab improves significantly endothelial function and decreases inflammatory process in patients with chronic psoriasis. International journal of cardiology. 2011;151(3):382-3.

131. Schinzari F, Armuzzi A, De Pascalis B, Mores N, Tesauro M, Melina D, et al. Tumor Necrosis Factor-α Antagonism Improves Endothelial Dysfunction in Patients With Crohn's Disease. Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics. 2008;83(1):70-6.

132. Galarraga B, Belch JJ, Pullar T, Ogston S, Khan F. Clinical improvement in rheumatoid arthritis is associated with healthier microvascular function in patients who respond to antirheumatic therapy. The Journal of rheumatology. 2010;37(3):521-8.

133. Kerekes G, Soltész P, Dér H, Veres K, Szabó Z, Végvári A, et al. Effects of rituximab treatment on endothelial dysfunction, carotid atherosclerosis, and lipid profile in rheumatoid arthritis. Clinical rheumatology. 2009;28(6):705-10.

134. Klimiuk P, Fiedorczyk M, Sierakowski S, Chwiecko J. Soluble cell adhesion molecules (sICAM-1, sVCAM-1, and sE-selectin) in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. Scandinavian journal of rheumatology. 2007;36(5):345-50.

135. Gonzalez-Gay M, Garcia-Unzueta M, De Matias J, Gonzalez-Juanatey C, Garcia-Porrua C, Sanchez-Andrade A, et al. Influence of anti-TNF-alpha infliximab therapy on adhesion molecules associated with atherogenesis in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Clinical and experimental rheumatology. 2006;24(4):373.

136. Ruyssen-Witrand A, Fautrel B, Saraux A, Le Loët X, Pham T. Cardiovascular risk induced by low-dose corticosteroids in rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic literature review. Joint Bone Spine. 2011;78(1):23-30.

137. Roman MJ, Shanker B-A, Davis A, Lockshin MD, Sammaritano L, Simantov R, et al. Prevalence and correlates of accelerated atherosclerosis in systemic lupus erythematosus. New England Journal of Medicine. 2003;349(25):2399-406.

138. Haraki T, Takegoshi T, Kitoh C, Wakasugi T, Saga T, Hirai JI, et al. Carotid artery intima-media thickness and brachial artery flow-mediated vasodilation in asymptomatic Japanese male subjects amongst apolipoprotein E phenotypes. Journal of internal medicine. 2002;252(2):114-20.

139. Hafström I, Rohani M, Deneberg S, Wörnert M, Jogestrand T, Frostegård J. Effects of low-dose prednisolone on endothelial function, atherosclerosis, and traditional risk factors for atherosclerosis in patients with rheumatoid arthritis--a randomized study. The Journal of rheumatology. 2007;34(9):1810-6.

140. Palinski W, Napoli C. Unraveling pleiotropic effects of statins on plaque rupture. Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology. 2002;22(11):1745-50.

141. Kanda H, Hamasaki K, Kubo K, Tateishi S, Yonezumi A, Kanda Y, et al. Antiinflammatory effect of simvastatin in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. The Journal of rheumatology. 2002;29(9):2024-6.

142. Mäki-Petäjä KM, Booth AD, Hall FC, Wallace SM, Brown J, McEniery CM, et al. Ezetimibe and simvastatin reduce inflammation, disease activity, and aortic stiffness and improve endothelial function in rheumatoid arthritis. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2007;50(9):852-8.

143. McCarey DW, McInnes IB, Madhok R, Hampson R, Scherbakova O, Ford I, et al. Trial of Atorvastatin in Rheumatoid Arthritis (TARA): double-blind, randomised placebo-controlled trial. The Lancet. 2004;363(9426):2015-21.

144. Okamoto H, Koizumi K, Kamitsuji S, Inoue E, Hara M, Tomatsu T, et al. Beneficial action of statins in patients with rheumatoid arthritis in a large observational cohort. The Journal of rheumatology. 2007;34(5):964-8.

145. Sheng X, Murphy MJ, MacDONALD TM, Wei L. Effectiveness of statins on total cholesterol and cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality in osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis. The Journal of rheumatology. 2012;39(1):32-40.

146. Semb AG, Kvien TK, DeMicco DA, Fayyad R, Wun CC, LaRosa JC, et al. Effect of intensive lipid-lowering therapy on cardiovascular outcome in patients with and those without inflammatory joint disease. Arthritis & Rheumatism. 2012;64(9):2836-46.